

Annual IPS ASEAN 9+1 Competitiveness Ranking Indices

The IPS ASEAN 9 + 1 Competitiveness Ranking Indices (IPS ASEAN CRI) is compiled by a team of researchers* led by Dr Tan Khee Giap, Adjunct Senior Fellow, Institute of Policy Studies (IPS).

Abstract

The Harvard Institute of International Development defines competitiveness as a measure of the levers a country has, to promote sustained improvements in its well being given the global competition. It is but a relative concept. In formulating the IPS-Competitiveness Ranking Indices (IPS-CRI), based on the similar World Competitiveness Yearbook's methodology, we have identified separately the respective strategic strengths and limitations of most ASEAN countries as reflected in our adopted criteria.

Our study revealed the relative positions of the ASEAN members, namely Cambodia, Indonesia, Laos, Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines, Singapore, Thailand and Vietnam (Brunei excluded due to data constraints), in terms of their comparative and competitive advantages. In view of the recent emergence of China as a regional economic powerhouse, we have therefore also included China in our ranking exercise. When China is included, our results suggest that countries such as Singapore and Malaysia have maintained their relative top positions in the CRI, at least as of 2002. Given the nature of their economies, our ranking findings on the less developed ASEAN members are not unexpected. We hope to extend this annual release of IPS-CRI to include India in the next round. Given the vast and diverse nature of the Chinese economy, we also hope to include some of her provinces in future competitive ranking exercises. The Summary Table of Competitiveness Indices (Table 4-1) and the List of Competitiveness Components used (Appendix 1) are enclosed.

* The study was jointly conducted with Ms Wong Ying Yin, Ms Lee Jia Yee and Ms Tan Aivee, graduates from Nanyang Technological University, Singapore.

Dr Tan Khee Giap

Dr Tan Khee Giap is an IPS Adjunct Senior Fellow. Prof Tan also heads the Central Banking Policies Research Unit and the ASEAN Economies Monitoring Unit at the Nanyang Technological University, Singapore.

He has consulted extensively with various government ministries, statutory boards and government linked companies of the Singapore government including Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Trade & Industry, Ministry of Manpower, Housing & Development Board, Civil Aviation Authority of Singapore, Singapore Tourism Board, Trade Development Board, Maritime Port Authority and StarHub Pte Ltd on policies concerning financial, fiscal, trade, tourism, public housing, labor, telecommunication, airport and seaport activities. He has served as a consultant to international agencies, international financial institutions and multinational corporations, such as the Asian Development Bank, Asian Development Bank Institute, United Nations Industrial Development Group, World Gold Council and ASEAN Secretariat. Recently he served in the 2002 Economic Review Committee (ERC) and was Chairman of the Task Force on Portable Medical Benefits (PMB). He also served as the Deputy Chairman of the 2002 IPS Forum for Economic Restructuring (IFER). He has published in international refereed journals in the area of central banking policies, capital flows, economic forecasting, financial development and banking sector reform. His current research interests include regional financial arrangements, bond markets development, banking consolidation and ASEAN-link model. He is also currently the coordinator for PECC Singapore, Deputy President of the Singapore Economic Society and Director on Education for the Tong Ann District Guild.

The Full Report and the Singapore Country Report are available from the Institute of Policy Studies (IPS). For more information, please contact IPS at email: ips@ips.org.sg or tel: (65) 6215-1010.

TABLE 4-1: SUMMARY TABLE OF COMPETITIVENESS INDICES

		ASEAN-9 COMPETITIVENESS INDEX					ASEAN-9+1 COMPETITIVENESS INDEX			
	Overall	Economic Environment	Political Environment	Business Environment	Social Environment	Overall	Economic Environment	Political Environment	Business Environment	Social Environment
Singapore	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Malaysia	2	3	2	2	2	2	4	2	3	2
China	-	-	-	-	-	3	2	3	2	5
Thailand	3	2	3	3	3	4	3	4	4	3
Philippines	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4
Vietnam	5	6	6	5	5	6	7	6	6	6
Indonesia	6	5	5	6	8	7	6	7	7	9
Cambodia	7	7	8	8	6	8	8	10	9	7
Myanmar	8	8	7	7	9	9	10	8	8	10
Lao PDR	9	9	9	9	6	10	9	9	10	8

Appendix 1: List of Competitiveness Components

Economic Environment

National Accounts

1.1.01 Gross Domestic Product (GDP) **US**\$ millions 1.1.02 Gross domestic capital formation* Percentage of GDP 1.1.03 Gross domestic savings* Percentage of GDP 1.1.04 Real GDP – growth Percentage change

1.1.05 GDP per capita US\$ per capita (constant 1995)

1.1.06 Inflation Percentage 1.1.07 Consumer price index (CPI)* 1995 = 100

1.1.08 Money growth (M2)* Percentage (annual rate)

International Transactions

1.2.01 Current account balance Percentage of GDP **US**\$ millions 1.2.02 Exports **1.2.03** Imports **US**\$ millions 1.2.04 Openness (Exports + Imports) / GDP

1.2.05 International tourism, receipts* **US**\$ billions

Foreign Investment

1.3.01 Foreign Direct Investment (FDI)* **US**\$ millions 1.3.02 Discrimination against new FDI 1.3.03 Levelness of local playing field for existing foreign investors of investment approval and licensing 1.3.04 Transparency process

1.3.05 Nationalism extent to which it is an impediment

to foreign investment

Political Environment

Government Finance

2.1.01 External indebtedness	US \$ millions
2.1.02 International reserves	US \$ millions
2.1.03 Government expenditure*	US \$ millions
2.1.04 Foreign assets*	US \$ millions

^{*} Projected data for Year 2001.

2.1.05 International Monetary Fund (IMF) Credit	US\$ millions
2.1.06 Overall budget deficit, including grants*	Percentage of GDP
Government Policies	
2.2.01 Fiscal balance	Percentage of GDP
2.2.02 Taxes*	US\$ millions
2.2.03 Tax system	is an efficient tool in administrating income distribution policies and in tax revenue collection
2.2.04 Money supply*	US\$ millions
2.2.05 Government policies	if they are constructive and successfully realized
2.2.06 Government economic	the soundness of these policies and the effectiveness of the government in implementing them
2.2.07 Government environmental protection/enhancement policies	serve their purposes well
Institutional Framework Central Bank	
2.3.01 Interest rate (3-month time deposits)*	Percentage
2.3.02 Interest rate spread*	Lending rate minus deposit rate
2.3.03 Exchange rate (average of period)	National currency per US\$ (market rate)
	rate)
2.3.04 Central bank/Monetary Authority	Efficiency of policies
2.3.04 Central bank/Monetary Authority State Competency	•
State Competency 2.3.05 Government stability	Efficiency of policies is ubiquitous
State Competency 2.3.05 Government stability 2.3.06 Political leadership	is ubiquitous is of high quality
State Competency 2.3.05 Government stability 2.3.06 Political leadership 2.3.07 Political system risk	Efficiency of policies is ubiquitous
State Competency 2.3.05 Government stability 2.3.06 Political leadership 2.3.07 Political system risk 2.3.08 Government responsiveness	is ubiquitous is of high quality is low to the needs of businesses
State Competency 2.3.05 Government stability 2.3.06 Political leadership 2.3.07 Political system risk	is ubiquitous is of high quality is low
State Competency 2.3.05 Government stability 2.3.06 Political leadership 2.3.07 Political system risk 2.3.08 Government responsiveness 2.3.09 Legislature/parliament 2.3.10 Conduct of state affairs by key	is ubiquitous is of high quality is low to the needs of businesses is of exacting standards is carried out with a great degree of
State Competency 2.3.05 Government stability 2.3.06 Political leadership 2.3.07 Political system risk 2.3.08 Government responsiveness 2.3.09 Legislature/parliament	is ubiquitous is of high quality is low to the needs of businesses is of exacting standards is carried out with a great degree of transparency its ability to adapt to the changing
State Competency 2.3.05 Government stability 2.3.06 Political leadership 2.3.07 Political system risk 2.3.08 Government responsiveness 2.3.09 Legislature/parliament 2.3.10 Conduct of state affairs by key institutions 2.3.11 Institutional sophistication	is ubiquitous is of high quality is low to the needs of businesses is of exacting standards is carried out with a great degree of transparency its ability to adapt to the changing political and economic environment
State Competency 2.3.05 Government stability 2.3.06 Political leadership 2.3.07 Political system risk 2.3.08 Government responsiveness 2.3.09 Legislature/parliament 2.3.10 Conduct of state affairs by key institutions	is ubiquitous is of high quality is low to the needs of businesses is of exacting standards is carried out with a great degree of transparency its ability to adapt to the changing political and economic environment does not hamper business operations the degree that is inherent in the
State Competency 2.3.05 Government stability 2.3.06 Political leadership 2.3.07 Political system risk 2.3.08 Government responsiveness 2.3.09 Legislature/parliament 2.3.10 Conduct of state affairs by key institutions 2.3.11 Institutional sophistication 2.3.12 Bureaucracy/red tape	is ubiquitous is of high quality is low to the needs of businesses is of exacting standards is carried out with a great degree of transparency its ability to adapt to the changing political and economic environment does not hamper business operations

^{*} Projected data for Year 2001.

Standards and Regulations	
2.3.16 Corporate governance	promotes good disclosure practices
2.3.17 Regulatory environment	possesses adaptability to changing conditions
2.3.18 Transparency	the degree to which government objectives behind economic policies are known and explained
2.3.19 Monopolies and cartels	their prevalence and the extent to which market forces are distorted
2.3.20 Level of competition	between public and private sectors
2.3.21 Establishment of a company	the ease of doing so
2.3.22 Barriers	presence of official and unofficial
	barriers to imports and exports of
	goods and services
2.3.23 Intellectual property rights pirates	are prevalent
2.3.24 Regional competitiveness	is high
Justice and Security	
2.3.25 Judiciary	has an established role in ensuring justice
2.3.26 Legal system	the degree to which it can be relied on to defend against intellectual property rights abuse
2.3.27 Legal system	Overall integrity
2.3.28 Police	is effectual in enforcing law and order
2.3.29 Political change	Potential for disruptive upheavals
2.3.30 Social stability	Overall level of social cohesion
•	

Business Environment

Financial Markets

Banking Efficiency

3.1.01 Bank claims on private sector 3.1.02 Domestic credit provided by **US**\$ millions

banking sector*

3.1.03 Banking and financial system

3.1.04 Credit to private sector*

Stock Market Efficiency

3.1.05 Listed domestic companies* 3.1.06 Market capitalization*

* Projected data for Year 2001.

US\$ millions

is resilient to external shocks **US**\$ millions

Number count

US\$ billions

3.1.07 Stocks traded*

3.1.08 Credit rating

3.1.09 Stock market regulatory authority

is effective in ensuring the proper operation of the market

Labor Market

3.2.01 Unemployment rate* Percentage of total labor force 3.2.02 Labor force* Percentage of total population competitiveness of compensation 3.2.03 Cost of production labor 3.2.04 High quality production staff is widely available 3.2.05 Management staff is highly paid 3.2.06 High quality management staff is readily employable 3.2.07 Skill level of labor force 3.2.08 Work ethics are established 3.2.09 Labor turnover is low

Productivity

3.3.01 Agriculture, value-added*
Percentage of GDP
3.3.02 Industry, value-added*
Percentage of GDP
3.3.03 Manufacturing, value-added*
Percentage of GDP
3.3.04 Services, value-added*
Percentage of GDP

Social Environment

Basic Infrastructure

Physical Infrastructure

4.1.01 Population

4.1.02 Local road system

4.1.03 Rail facilities

4.1.04 Airport facilities

4.1.05 Access to airport

4.1.06 Public transport system

4.1.07 Electric power

Millions

is generally efficient

are adequate

are satisfactory

is easy and convenient

is efficient

is a public good and readily

available

4.1.08 Water and other utilities

Education

4.1.09 Illiteracy rate

4.1.10 Primary schooling4.1.11 Secondary schooling4.1.12 University and post-graduate education

Percentage of people ages 15 and above

are widely available and accessible

is universal

is attained for indigenous population

is broadly pursued

^{*} Projected data for Year 2001.

Technological Infrastructure

4.2.01 Telecommunications system 4.2.02 Telephone mainlines* 4.2.03 Internet users*

4.2.04 Cellular mobile telephone subscribers*

4.2.05 Internet and the services supporting it

4.2.06 Personal computers*

4.2.07 High technology expenditure*

4.2.08 Information and communication expenditure*

4.2.09 High-technology proficiency

is amply invested in

Number of main lines per 1000 people

Thousands

Number of subscribers per 1000

people

meet business requirements

Number of computers per 1000 people

Percentage of GDP

Percentage of GDP

is good

Quality of Life

4.3.01 Human development index*

4.3.02 Health expenditure*

4.3.03 Urban population

4.3.04 Urban population growth*

4.3.05 Public security and safety

4.3.06 Freedom of press and other local media

4.3.07 Quality of press and other local media

4.3.08 Freedom of speech

4.3.09 Information flows

4.3.10 Freedom of religion

4.3.11 Religious unrest

4.3.12 Labor unrest

4.3.13 Racial unrest

4.3.14 Labor activism

4.3.15 Water quality

4.3.16 Air quality

4.3.17 Noise pollution

4.3.18 Traffic congestion

combines economic, social and educational indicators / Source:

Human Development Report

Percentage of GDP

Percentage of total population

Percentage (annual rate)

is high

the extent to which freedom of

expression is exercised

is high

is allowed

freely

the degree to which a country is dominated by any one religion threatens the well-being of society

is rampant

occurs frequently

is an issue

if it is safe for consumption

is low

does not severely affect urban areas

the degree to which accessibility is

impeded

^{*} Projected data for Year 2001.